arXiv:math/0511449v1 [math.OA] 17 Nov 2005

CCR AND GCR GROUPOID C^*-ALGEBRAS

LISA ORLOFF CLARK

Abstract. Suppose G is a second countable, locally compact, Hausdorff groupoid with a fixed left Haar system. Let G^0/G denote the orbit space of G and $C^*(G)$ denote the groupoid C^*-algebra. Suppose that the isotropy groups of G are amenable. We show that $C^*(G)$ is CCR if and only if G^0/G is a T_1 topological space and all of the isotropy groups are CCR. We also show that $C^*(G)$ is GCR if and only if G^0/G is a T_0 topological space and all of the isotropy groups are GCR.

1. Intorduction

In this paper we generalize two major classification theorems for transformation group C^*-algebras to theorems that classify groupoid C^*-algebras. We will denote a transformation group by $C^*(H,X)$ where H is a locally compact group acting continuously on the left of the locally compact space X. Specifically, in [6], Elliot Gootman showed the following:

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose H and X are both second countable. Then $C^*(H,X)$ is GCR if and only if the orbit space is T_0 and every stability group is GCR.*

Dana Williams used a different approach and, in addition to the GCR case, considered the case for CCR transformation group C^*-algebras. In [17] he proved the theorem below.

Theorem 1.2. *Suppose that H and X are both second countable. Suppose also that at every point of discontinuity y of the map $x \mapsto S_x$, the stability group S_y is amenable, then $C^*(H,X)$ is CCR if and only if the orbit space is T_1 and the stability groups are CCR.*

We will prove analogous results to Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 for groupoid C^*-algebras. Like Williams' results, we must still assume that the isotropy groups are amenable. Suppose G is a locally compact, Hausdorff, second countable groupoid with a fixed left Haar system and $C^*(G)$ is the associated groupoid C^*-algebra. We show that:

Theorem 1.3. *The groupoid C^*-algebra $C^*(G)$ is CCR if and only if the orbit space is T_1 and the isotropy groups are CCR.*

Theorem 1.4. *The groupoid C^*-algebra $C^*(G)$ is GCR if and only if the orbit space is T_0 and the isotropy groups are GCR.*

Date: February 2, 2008.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46L05,46L35.

Key words and phrases. Locally Compact groupoid, C^*-algebra, Hilbert Module.

Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 have been generalized to principal groupoids in [2]. The key to the proof of the CCR result in the principal case has two major components. The first is that every irreducible representation of $C^*(G)$ factors through $C_c(G_{\overline{[u]}})$ for some $u \in G^0$ [2, Corollary 3.5]. This result does not require G to be principal so we can use it in the more general setting. The second component used in the proof of the CCR result is a continuous injection from the orbit space of the groupoid G^0/G to the spectrum of the associated groupoid C^*-algebra $C^*(G)^\wedge$. In [2], this continuous injection is defined explicitly. However, the map there requires G to be principal in order to be a well-defined function. Our goal in this paper is to show that there still exists a continuous injection from the orbit space to the spectrum in the more general setting. Once we do this, the CCR result will be easy to prove. The GCR result will also follow quickly because, as in the principal case, we can invoke [2, Proposition 5.1].

The authors of [10] have also defined a map from G^0/G to $C^*(G)^\wedge$. Their definition assumes the groupoid has abelian isotropy. What we will do is show that we can modify this definition and show that this map is a well-defined injection without the assumption that the groupoid has abelian isotropy. This is highly non-trivial as it involves possibly discontinuous isotropy. In fact, we must invoke Renault's Disintegration theorem [14, Theorem 4.2] to show our map gives us a bounded operator, which is not needed in the abelian case. Unfortunately, to show this map is continuous, we must still require the isotropy groups be amenable. In Theorem 1.1, Gootman does not require the amenability condition. Thus, we expect it to be superfluous in the groupoid case, but, with these techniques, we have been unsuccessful in eliminating it.

To define a map from G^0/G to $C^*(G)^\wedge$, we first show that for each $u \in G^0$, we have l^u; an irreducible representation in $C^*(G)^\wedge$. We will do this by taking the trivial representation of the isotropy group C^*-algebra $C^*(G_u^u)$ and induce that to a representation of $C^*(G)$. To deal with potentially discontinuous isotropy, we actually need a bit more. For each $u \in G^0$, given a subgroup A of G_u^u and a representation π of A, we define a representation of $C^*(G)$ and denote it $\operatorname{Ind}(u, A, \pi)$. We will then let $l^u = \operatorname{Ind}(u, G_u^u, 1)$ where 1 is the trivial representation of G_u^u. Once we have defined l^u, we will show that the map from $u \mapsto l^u$ is indeed a well-defined, continuous, and injective map from G^0/G to $C^*(G)^\wedge$.

2. Preliminaries

A groupoid G is a small category in which every morphism is invertible. Assume that G is second countable, locally compact, Hausdorff and has a fixed left Haar system, $\{\lambda^u\}_{u\in G^0}$. We define maps r and s from G to G by $r(x) = xx^{-1}$ and $s(x) = x^{-1}x$. These are the maps Renault calls r and d in [13]. The common image of r and s is called the unit space which we denote G^0.

Now consider the vector space $C_c(G)$, the space of continuous functions with compact support from G to the complex numbers, $\mathbb{C}$. We can view this space as a $*$-algebra by defining convolution and involution with the formulae:

$$\begin{aligned} f * g(x) &= \int f(y)g(y^{-1}x)\ d\lambda^{r(x)}(y) \\ &= \int f(xy)g(y^{-1})\ d\lambda^{s(x)}(y) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$f^*(x) = \overline{f(x^{-1})}.$$

We define the groupoid C^*-algebra with the following theorem.

Theorem 2.1. *For $f \in C_c(G)$, the quantity*

$$\|f\| := \sup\{\|\pi(f)\| \mid \pi \text{ is a representation of } C_c(G)\} \tag{2.1}$$

is finite and defines a C^*-norm on $C_c(G)$. The completion of $C_c(G)$ with respect to this norm is a C^*-algebra, denoted $C^*(G)$.

This theorem can be proven as a result of Renault's Disintegration Theorem [14, Theorem 4.2], [9, Theorem 3.23]. The motivating example of a groupoid C^*-algebra is a transformation group C^*-algebra, $C^*(H, X)$, defined in [17].

We define the map $\pi : G \to G^0 \times G^0$ by $\pi(x) = (r(x), s(x))$. Using π, we define an equivalence relation on G^0 and endow the set of equivalence classes with the quotient topology. We call this topological space the orbit space of G, denoted G^0/G.

The isotropy group (also called the stability group) of G at a unit $u \in G^0$ is defined to be $G^u_u := \pi^{-1}(u, u)$. We also define $G^u := r^{-1}(u)$ and $G_u := s^{-1}(u)$.

3. Creating a Hilbert $C^*(A)$-module

The first step in defining a continuous injection from G^0/G to $C^*(G)^\wedge$ is to define an irreducible representation l^u for each unit $u \in G^0$.

Let A be a subgroup of G^u_u for some $u \in G^0$ and let β be a Haar measure on A. When necessary to emphasize the unit, we will sometimes write A_u for A. Our goal is to get an induced representation of $C^*(G)$ from a representation of $C^*(A)$. We will do this by showing that $C_c(G_u)$ is a Hilbert $C^*(A)$-module on which $C_c(G)$ acts as adjointable operators. Then we will use [12, Proposition 2.66] to achieve the desired result.

Before we get started, we need the following two technical lemmas.

Remark 3.1. We need Lemma 3.2 to define l^u, and we need Lemma 3.3 to show that l^u is irreducible.

Lemma 3.2. *Suppose that $u \in G^0$ and that $A \subset G^u_u$ the isotropy group at $u \in G^0$, and that β is a Haar measure on A.*

1. *There is a non-negative, continuous function b on G_u, such that for any compact subset $K \subset G_u$, the support of b and KA have compact intersection, and*

$$\int_A b(\gamma a) d\beta(a) = 1 \tag{3.1}$$

   for all $\gamma \in G_u$.

2. *The formula*

$$Q(f)(\dot\gamma) = \int_A f(\gamma a) d\beta(a) \tag{3.2}$$

   defines a surjection from $C_c(G)$ onto $C_c(G_u/A)$.

Proof. 1. The existence of a function b' satisfying all of the conditions of 1 except for (3.1) comes from Lemma 1 on page 96 of [3]. Thus if we define

$$b(\gamma) = \frac{b'(\gamma)}{\tilde{b'}(\dot{\gamma})}$$

where $\tilde{b'}(\dot{\gamma}) = \int_A b'(\gamma a)\ d\beta(a)$. Notice that $\tilde{b'}$ is everywhere strictly greater than zero because [3] tells us that $b' \geq 0$, b' is continuous, and b' is not equal to zero on any entire equivalence class.

2. To see that $Q(f) \in C_c(G_u/A)$ for $f \in C_c(G)$, we must show that $Q(f)$ is continuous and has compact support.

First, we will show $Q(f)$ is continuous. It suffices to show that if γ_n converges to γ in G_u then $Q(f)(\dot{\gamma_n})$ converges to $Q(f)(\dot{\gamma})$. Consider the function $F_\gamma \in C_c(A)$ defined by

$$F_\gamma(a) := f(\gamma a).$$

We claim that F_{γ_n} converges to F_γ in the inductive limit topology on $C_c(A)$. It suffices to show that there is a compact set $K \subset A$ such that $\operatorname{supp} F_{\gamma_n}$ is eventually contained in K and that F_{γ_n} converges uniformly to F_γ.

Since $\{\gamma_n\}$ converges to γ, we can pass to a subsequence, relabel, and assume that $\{\gamma_n\} \in \bar{K}$ for some compact set $\bar{K} \subset G_u$. Notice that for every n,

$$\operatorname{supp} F_{\gamma_n} = \{a \in A \mid \gamma_n a \in \operatorname{supp} f\} \subset \bar{K}^{-1}(\operatorname{supp} f) \cap A = K.$$

Also note that K is compact.

Now we must show that $\{F_{\gamma_n}\}$ converges to F_γ uniformly. Suppose the contrary. Let ϵ be given. There exists a sequence $\{a_n\} \subset A$ so that for every n,

$$|F_{\gamma_n}(a_n) - F_\gamma(a_n)| \geq \epsilon. \tag{3.3}$$

In order for (3.3) to be greater than zero, $\{a_n\} \subset K$. Since K is compact, we can assume that $\{a_n\}$ converges to some $a \in K$. Notice that $\{\gamma_n a_n\}$ converges to γa and $\{\gamma a_n\}$ also converges to γa. Thus we can find N such that if $n \geq N$ the following holds:

$$\begin{aligned} |F_{\gamma_n}(a_n) - F_\gamma(a_n)| &= |f(\gamma_n a_n) - f(\gamma a_n)| \\ &\leq |f(\gamma_n a_n) - f(\gamma a)| + |f(\gamma a) - f(\gamma a_n)| \\ &\leq \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore, $\{F_{\gamma_n}\}$ converges to F_γ uniformly which means they converge in the inductive limit topology as claimed. It follows from the continuity of integration that $\{Q(f)(\dot{\gamma_n})\}$ converges to $Q(f)(\dot{\gamma})$.

To see that $\operatorname{supp} Q(f)$ is compact, let $\dot{\gamma} \in \operatorname{supp} Q(f)$. Then $f(\gamma a) \neq 0$ for some a. Thus $\gamma a \in \operatorname{supp} f$ which means $\gamma a \in J = \operatorname{supp} f \cap G_u$, a compact subset of G_u. This means that $\dot{\gamma} \in JA$, a compact subset of G_u/A.

We now must show that Q is surjective. Let $h \in C_c(G_u/A)$. We can extend h and view it as a function on G that is constant on orbits and zero elsewhere. Now consider the function hb where b is the function from part 1. Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{supp}(hb) &= \operatorname{supp} h \cap \operatorname{supp} b \\ &= KA \cap \operatorname{supp} b \end{aligned}$$

which is compact by part 1. Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} Q(hb)(\dot{\gamma}) &= \int_A hb(\gamma a)\ d\beta(a) \\ &= \int_A h(\gamma a)\ d\beta(a) \int_A b(\gamma a)\ d\beta(a) \end{aligned}$$

Since $\int_A b(\gamma a)\ d\beta(a) = 1$ for $\dot{\gamma}$, we have

$$Q(hb)(\dot{\gamma}) = \int_A h(\gamma a)\ d\beta(a).$$

But h is constant on orbits, so $\int_A h(\gamma a)\ d\beta(a) = h(\dot{\gamma})$. □

Let b' be the function defined in the proof of Lemma 3.2. Now define

$$\rho(\gamma) = \int_A b'(\gamma a)\Delta(a)^{-1}\ d\beta(a) \tag{3.4}$$

where $\gamma \in G_u$ and Δ is the modular function on A. Notice that the image of $\rho(\gamma) > 0$ for all γ because the modular function is greater than zero, and b' is non-negaitve and non-zero on each equivalence class. Also

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(\gamma c) &= \int_A b'(\gamma c a)\Delta(a)^{-1}\ d\beta(a) \\ &= \int_A b'(\gamma a)\Delta(c)\Delta(a)^{-1}\ d\beta(a) \\ &= \Delta(c)\rho(\gamma). \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

Lemma 3.3. *There is a radon measure σ on G_u/A such that*

$$\int_{G_u} f(\gamma)\rho(\gamma)\ d\lambda_u(\gamma) = \int_{G_u/A}\int_{G_u^u} f(\gamma a)\ d\beta(a)d\sigma(\dot{\gamma}) \tag{3.6}$$

for all $f \in C_c(G_u)$.

Proof. The Riesz Representation Theorem from [5, Theorem 7.2] tells us that it suffices to show that the equation

$$\sigma(Q(f)) = \int_{G_u} f(\gamma)\rho(\gamma)\ d\lambda_u(\gamma)$$

is a well-defined, positive, linear functional on $C_c(G_u/A)$.

It is clear that σ is positive because ρ is positive. It is also clear that σ is linear. We must show that σ is well-defined. For this, it suffices to show that if

$$Q(f) = \int_A f(\gamma a)\ d\beta(a) = 0$$

for all $\gamma \in G_u$, then

$$\int_{G_u} f(\gamma)\rho(\gamma)\ d\lambda_u(\gamma) = 0.$$

Let $h \in C_c(G)$. Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_A h * f\ d\beta(a) &= \int_A\int_G h(\gamma)f(\gamma^{-1}a)\ d\lambda^u(\gamma)d\beta(a) \\ &= \int_G h(\gamma^{-1})\int_A f(\gamma a)\ d\beta(a)d\lambda_u(\gamma) = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

Now let $\tau \in C_c(G_u/A)$ be such that $\tau(\dot{\gamma}) = 1$ for $\gamma \in \operatorname{supp}(f)$. We can define such a function and still require it have compact support because the image of the compact set, $\operatorname{supp} f$, under the quotient map is compact. Thus the Tietze Extension Theorem tells us we can extend this to a function in $C_c(G_u/A)$.

Now consider

$$\tilde{h}(\gamma) = \tau(\dot{\gamma})b(\gamma)\rho(\gamma).$$

We claim that this function is an element of $C_c(G_u)$. To see this, notice that if $\gamma \in \operatorname{supp} \tilde{h}$ then

$$\gamma \in (\operatorname{supp} \tau \cap \operatorname{supp} \rho) \cap \operatorname{supp} b.$$

We know that $\operatorname{supp} \tau$ is compact. Thus $\operatorname{supp} \tilde{h}$ is also compact because $\operatorname{supp} b$ intersected with a compact set is compact by Lemma 3.2.

Also, if $\gamma \in \operatorname{supp} f$ then

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{h}(\gamma a) &= \tau(\dot{\gamma})b(\gamma a)\rho(\gamma a)\\ &= b(\gamma a)\rho(\gamma a).\end{aligned}$$

Now define

$$h(\gamma) := \tilde{h}(\gamma^{-1})$$

and notice that $h \in C_c(G)$. Thus (3.7) implies

$$\begin{aligned}0 &= \int_A h * f(a)\ d\beta(a)\\ &= \int_A \int_G h(\gamma)f(\gamma^{-1}a)\ d\lambda^u(\gamma)d\beta(a)\\ &= \int_A \int_G h(a\gamma)f(\gamma^{-1})\ d\lambda^u(\gamma)d\beta(a)\\ &= \int_G \int_A h(a\gamma^{-1})f(\gamma)\ d\beta(a)d\lambda_u(\gamma)\\ &= \int_G \int_A \tilde{h}(\gamma a^{-1})f(\gamma)\ d\beta(a)d\lambda_u(\gamma)\\ &= \int_G \int_A b(\gamma a^{-1})\rho(\gamma a^{-1})\ d\beta(a)f(\gamma)\ d\lambda_u(\gamma), \qquad (3.8)\end{aligned}$$

where (3.8) follows from the fact that $\gamma \in \operatorname{supp} f$. Now we get (3.8) equal to

$$\begin{aligned}&= \int_G \int_A b(\gamma a^{-1})\Delta(a^{-1})\rho(\gamma)\ d\beta(a)f(\gamma)\ d\lambda_u(\gamma)\\ &= \int_G \int_A b(\gamma a)\ d\beta(a)\rho(\gamma)f(\gamma)\ d\lambda_u\\ &= \int_{G_u} f(\gamma)\rho(\gamma)\ d\lambda_u\end{aligned}$$

as needed. □

Now we can begin showing that $C_c(G_u)$ is a Hilbert $C^*(A)$ module, where A is a subgroup of G_u^u. Define a map $p : C_c(G_u) \to C_c(A)$ by

$$p(f)(a) = \Delta(a)^{-1/2}f(a)$$

where Δ is the modular function of A. Recall that for $\phi, \xi \in C_c(A)$,

$$\phi^*(a) = \Delta(a^{-1})\overline{\phi(a^{-1})} \text{ and}$$

$$\xi * \phi(a) = \int_A \xi(r)\phi(r^{-1}a)\ d\beta(r).$$

Note that $p(f^*) = p(f)^*$ where $f^*(\gamma) = \overline{f(\gamma^{-1})}$ for $f \in C_c(G_u)$.

We know that there is a one-to-one correspondence between unitary representations of A and non-degenerate representations of $C^*(A)$. As is typical, we will not distinguish between these representations with our notation. Whether we mean the representation of $C^*(A)$ or of A should be clear from context.

Notice that $C_c(G_u)$ is a $C_c(G) - C_c(A)$ bimodule. The space $C_c(A)$ acts on the right of $C_c(G_u)$ by the map $(g, \phi) \mapsto g \cdot \phi : C_c(G_u) \times C_c(A) \to C_c(G_u)$ defined by

$$g \cdot \phi(\gamma) = \int_A \Delta(a)^{-1/2} f(\gamma a)\phi(a^{-1})\ d\beta(a)$$

and $C_c(G)$ acts on the left of $C_c(G_u)$ simply by convolution (in G):

$$f \cdot g(\gamma) = g * f(\gamma)$$

for $g \in C_c(G_u)$ and $f \in C_c(G)$. It is routine to verify that

$$f \cdot (\psi * \phi) = (f \cdot \psi) \cdot \phi \text{ and}$$
$$(f * g) \cdot g = f \cdot (h \cdot g).$$

Lemma 3.4. *The formula*

$$\langle f, g\rangle_A = p(f^* * g)$$

defines a $C^*(A)$-valued sesquilinear form on $C_c(G_u)$ such that for all $f, g \in C_c(G_u)$ and $\phi \in C_c(A)$ the following conditions hold:

1. $\langle f, g\rangle_A^* = \langle g, f\rangle_A$
2. $\langle f, g \cdot \phi\rangle_A = \langle f, g\rangle_A * \phi$
3. *$\langle f, f\rangle_A$ is a positive element of $C^*(A)$.*

Proof. It is straightforward to check that this map is sesquilinear.

1. Notice that

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle f, g\rangle_A^*(a) &= (p(f^* * g))^*(a) \\
&= \Delta(a^{-1})\overline{p(f^* * g)(a^{-1})} \\
&= \Delta(a^{-1})\Delta(a^{-1})^{-1/2}\overline{(f^* * g)(a^{-1})} \\
&= \Delta(a)^{-1/2}(f^* * g)^*(a) \\
&= \Delta(a)^{-1/2}(g^* * f)(a) \\
&= \langle g, f\rangle_A(a).
\end{aligned}$$

2. We see that:

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle f, g\rangle_A * \phi(a) &= \int_A \langle f, g\rangle_A(r)\phi(r^{-1}a)\ d\beta(r) \\
&= \int_A \Delta(r)^{-1/2} f^* * g(r)\phi(r^{-1}a)\ d\beta(r) \\
&= \int_A \Delta(r)^{-1/2} \int_G f^*(x)g(x^{-1}r)\phi(r^{-1}a)\ d\lambda^u(x)d\beta(r).
\end{aligned}$$

Working on the right hand side of the equation we want to prove, we get:

$$\langle f, g \cdot \phi\rangle_A(a) = \Delta(a)^{-1/2}(f^* * (g \cdot \phi)(a)$$

$$= \Delta(a)^{-1/2} \int_G f^*(x)(g \cdot \phi)(x^{-1}a)\ d\lambda^u(x)$$

$$= \Delta(a)^{-1/2} \int_G f^*(x) \int_A \Delta(r)^{-1/2} g(x^{-1}ar)\phi(r^{-1})\ d\lambda^u(x) \tag{3.9}$$

multiplying r on the left by a^{-1} we see that equation (3.9) is equal to:

$$\begin{aligned} &= \Delta(a)^{-1/2} \int_G f^*(x) \int_A \Delta(a^{-1}r)^{-1/2} g(x^{-1}r)\phi((ar)^{-1})\ d\lambda^u(x) \\ &= \Delta(a)^{-1/2} \int_G f^*(x) \int_A \Delta(a)^{1/2}\Delta(r)^{-1/2} g(x^{-1}r)\phi((r^{-1}a)\ d\lambda^u(x) \\ &= \int_A \Delta(r)^{-1/2} \int_G f^*(x) g(x^{-1}r)\phi(r^{-1}a)\ d\lambda^u(x) d\beta(r). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\langle f, g \cdot \phi \rangle_A = \langle f, g \rangle_A * \phi.$$

3. To show positivity, it suffices to show that

$$(\pi(\langle f, f \rangle_A)h \mid h) \geq 0$$

for any irreducible representation $\pi \in C^*(A)^\wedge$.

Let $\pi \in C^*(A)^\wedge$. Define a Radon measure μ on A by

$$\begin{aligned} \int_A \phi(a)\ d\mu(a) &= \int_A \phi(a)(\pi(a)\xi \mid \xi)\ d\beta(a) \\ &= (\pi(\phi)\xi \mid \xi) \end{aligned}$$

for $\phi \in C_c(A)$. Notice that $\phi \geq 0$ if and only if $\int_A \phi(a)\ d\mu(a) \geq 0$ for every $\pi \in \hat{A}$ and $\xi \in \mathcal{H}_\pi$.

Now consider

$$\begin{aligned} (\pi(\langle g, f \rangle_A)h \mid h) &= \int_A \langle g, f \rangle_A(a)((\pi(a)h \mid h)\ da \\ &= \int_A \langle g, f \rangle_A(a)\ d\mu(a) \\ &= \int_A \Delta(a)^{-1/2} g^* * f(a)\ d\mu(a) \\ &= \int_A \Delta(a)^{-1/2} \int_G g^*(\gamma) f(\gamma^{-1}a)\ d\lambda^u(\gamma) d\mu(a) \\ &= \int_A \Delta(a)^{-1/2} \int_G \overline{g(\gamma^{-1})} f(\gamma^{-1}a)\ d\lambda^u(\gamma) d\mu(a). \end{aligned}$$

We can change γ^{-1} to γ by switching the measure from λ^u to λ_u and get:

$$\begin{aligned} &= \int_A \Delta(a)^{-1/2} \int_G \overline{g(\gamma)} f(\gamma a)\ d\lambda_u(\gamma) d\mu(a) \\ &= \int_A \Delta(a)^{-1/2} \int_G \overline{g(\gamma)} f(\gamma a) \int_A b(\gamma t)\ d\beta(t)\ \ d\lambda_u(\gamma) d\mu(a) \end{aligned}$$

where b is from Lemma 3.2 so that $\int_A b(\gamma t)\ d\beta(t) = 1$ for all $\gamma \in G_u$. Using Fubini's theorem, we get this equal to

$$= \int_A \Delta(a)^{-1/2} \int_A \int_G \overline{g(\gamma)} f(\gamma a) b(\gamma t)\ d\lambda_u(\gamma) d\beta(t) d\mu(a)$$

$$= \int_A \Delta(a)^{-1/2} \int_A \int_G \overline{g(\gamma^{-1})} f(\gamma^{-1}a) b(\gamma^{-1}t)\ d\lambda^u(\gamma) d\beta(t) d\mu(a).$$

Since $t \in A \subset G_u^u$, we can multiply γ on the left by t^{-1} to see that this is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int_A \Delta(a)^{-1/2} \int_A \int_G \overline{g(\gamma^{-1}t^{-1})} f(\gamma^{-1}t^{-1}a) b(\gamma^{-1})\ d\lambda^u(\gamma) d\beta(t) d\mu(a) \\
&= \int_A \Delta(a)^{-1/2} \int_A \int_G \overline{g(\gamma t^{-1})} f(\gamma t^{-1}a) b(\gamma)\ d\lambda_u(\gamma) d\beta(t) d\mu(a) \\
&= \int_G b(\gamma) \int_A \int_A \overline{g(\gamma t^{-1})} \Delta(a)^{-1/2} f(\gamma t^{-1}a)\ d\beta(t) d\mu(a) d\lambda_u(\gamma) \\
&= \int_G b(\gamma) \int_A \int_A \Delta(a)^{-1/2} \overline{g(\gamma t^{-1})} f(\gamma t^{-1}a)\ d\beta(t) d\mu(a) d\lambda_u(\gamma). \qquad (3.10)
\end{aligned}$$

Recall that $\Delta(t^{-1}t) = \Delta(e) = 1$. So we have equation 3.10

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int_G b(\gamma) \int_A \int_A \Delta(t^{-1}t) \Delta(a)^{-1/2} \overline{g(\gamma t^{-1})} f(\gamma t^{-1}a)\ d\beta(t) d\mu(a) d\lambda_u(\gamma) \\
&= \int_G b(\gamma) \int_A \int_A \Delta(t^{-1}) \Delta(t)^{1/2} \overline{g(\gamma t^{-1})} \Delta(t^{-1})^{-1/2} \Delta(a)^{-1/2} f(\gamma t^{-1}a)\ d\beta(t) d\mu(a) d\lambda_u(\gamma) \\
&\qquad (3.11) \\
&= \int_G b(\gamma) \int_A \int_A \Delta(t^{-1}) \Delta(t)^{1/2} \overline{g(\gamma t^{-1})} \Delta(t^{-1}a)^{-1/2} f(\gamma t^{-1}a)\ d\beta(t) d\mu(a) d\lambda_u(\gamma).
\end{aligned}$$

Now define $g_\gamma, f_\gamma \in C_c(A)$ by

$$\begin{aligned}
g_\gamma(a) &= \Delta(a)^{-1/2} g(\gamma a) \text{ and} \\
f_\gamma(a) &= \Delta(a)^{-1/2} f(\gamma a).
\end{aligned}$$

Also notice that

$$\begin{aligned}
g_\gamma^*(t) &= \Delta(t^{-1}) \overline{g_\gamma(t^{-1})} \\
&= \Delta(t^{-1}) \Delta(t)^{1/2} \overline{g(\gamma t^{-1})}.
\end{aligned}$$

where we are viewing $p(g_\gamma)$ as an element of $C^*(A)$.

Thus, equation (3.11) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int_G b(\gamma) \int_A \int_A g_\gamma^*(t) f_\gamma(t^{-1}a)\ d\beta(t) d\mu(a) d\lambda_u(\gamma) \\
&= \int_G b(\gamma) \int_A g_\gamma^* * f_\gamma(a)\ d\mu(a) d\lambda_u(\gamma). \qquad (3.12)
\end{aligned}$$

Using the definition of μ, this equals

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int_G b(\gamma) (\pi(g_\gamma^* * f_\gamma) h \mid h)\ d\lambda_u(\gamma) \\
&= \int_G b(\gamma) (\pi(f_\gamma) h \mid \pi(g_\gamma) h)\ d\lambda_u(\gamma).
\end{aligned}$$

Replacing g by f we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(\pi(\langle f, f\rangle_A) h \mid h) &= \int_G b(\gamma) (\pi(f_\gamma) h \mid \pi(f_\gamma) h)\ d\lambda_u(\gamma) \\
&= \int_G b(\gamma) \Delta(h)^{-1/2} (\pi(f_\gamma) h \mid \pi(f_\gamma) h)\ d\lambda_u(\gamma) \geq 0
\end{aligned}$$

for all $\pi \in \hat{A}$ and $\xi \in \mathcal{H}_\pi$. This completes the proof. □

With this lemma, we see from [12, Lemma 2.16] that $C_c(G_u)$ is a pre-Hilbert $C^*(A)$-module. We now want to show that $C_c(G)$ acts on the right of this module as adjointable operators. In order to do that, we need Lemma 3.5 below. The proof to this lemma requires we use Renault's Disintegration theorem. The corresponding lemma in [10, Proposition 2.2] does not require this difficult theorem. However, in removing the assumption the isotropy groups be abelian, the modular function that is introduced causes the argument in [10, Propsotion 2.2] to be invalid.

The specific portion of Renault's Disintegration Theorem, which is found in [9, Thoerem 3.23], is that a representation of $C_c(G)$ on a pre-Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_0$ extends uniquely to a bounded operator of $C_c(G)$ on the Hilbert space completion of $\mathcal{H}_0$, $\mathcal{H}$.

Lemma 3.5. *The inequality*

$$\langle f * g, f * g\rangle_A \leq \|f\|_{C^*(G)}\langle g, g\rangle_A, \tag{3.13}$$

holds for all $f \in C_c(G_u)$ and $g \in C_c(G)$.

Proof. We know from the previous lemma that $\langle f, f, \rangle_A \geq 0$ in $C^*(A)$. Thus, given any state ρ in $C^*(A)$,

$$(f|g)_\rho := \rho(\langle g, f\rangle_A)$$

defines a pre-inner product on $C_c(G)$. The quotient $\mathcal{H}_0 = C_c(G)/N$ where

$$N := \{f \in C_c(G_u) \mid \rho(\langle f, f\rangle_A) = 0\}$$

is a dense subspace of the Hilbert space completion of $\mathcal{H}_0$ with respect to $(\cdot|\cdot)_\rho$. Now define a a homomorphism L from $C_c(G)$ into the algebra of linear transformations on $\mathcal{H}_0$ by $L(f)g = f * g$. We will show that L satisfies conditions (a)–(c) of [9, Theorem 3.32].

(a) The span of

$$\{L(f)g = f * g \mid f \in C_c(G), g \in C_c(G_u)\}$$

is dense in $C_c(G_u)$. This follows from the existence of an approximate identity [8, Corollary 2.11].

(b) For each $g, h \in C_c(G_u)$, the functional $L_{g,h} : C_c(G) \to \mathbb{C}$ defined by the equation

$$L_{g,h}(f) = (g|L(f)h)_\rho = (g|f * h)_\rho$$

is continuous with respect to the inductive limit topology on $C_c(G)$ because the inner product is just a compositions of continuous functions. Specifically, the function p, convolution, the modular function, and ρ are all continuous.

(c) For all $f \in C_c(G)$, and for all $g, h \in C_c(G_u)$,

$$\begin{aligned}(g|L(f^*)h) &= (g|f^* * h)\\ &= (f * g|h)\\ &= (L(f)g|h)\end{aligned}$$

as needed.

Invoking [9, Theroem 3.23], we know that L is a representation of $C_c(G)$ on $\mathcal{H}$. Now we can use [11, 3.3.7] to get

$$(f * g | f * g)_\rho \leq \|f\|_{C^*(G)}(g|g)_\rho \text{ or}$$
$$\rho(\langle f * g, f * g\rangle_A) \leq \|f\|_{C^*(G)}\rho(\langle g, g\rangle_A)$$

for all states ρ. Thus

$$\langle f * g, f * g\rangle_A \leq \|f\|_{C^*(G)}\langle g, g\rangle_A$$

in $C^*(A)$. □

Lemma 3.6. *The space $C_c(G)$ acts on the right of the pre-Hilbert $C^*(A)$-module $C_c(G_u)$ as adjointable operators.*

Proof. It suffices to show that

$$\langle f * h, g\rangle_A = \langle f, h^* * g\rangle_A$$

for $f \in C_c(G)$ and $g, h \in C_c(G_u)$. This equation is fairly obvious. Notice that

$$\begin{aligned}\langle h * f, g\rangle_A &= p((f * h)^* * g)\\ &= p(h^* * f^* * g)\\ &= p(h^* * (f^* * g))\\ &= \langle h, f^* * g\rangle_A\end{aligned}$$

□

Now given a representation, π of $C^*(A)$ on $\mathcal{H}_\pi$

$$\langle f \otimes \xi, g \otimes \eta\rangle = (\pi(\langle g, f\rangle_A)\xi \mid \eta)_{\mathcal{H}_\pi} \tag{3.14}$$

defines a pre-inner product on $C_c(G_u) \otimes \mathcal{H}_\pi$ on which $C_c(G)$ acts by bounded operators via convolution by [12, Proposition 2.66].

4. Defining l^u

Definition 4.1. Suppose that for $u \in G^0$, A is a subgroup of G_u^u, and π is a representation of A on $\mathcal{H}_\pi$. Then we define the representation $\mathrm{Ind}(u, A, \pi)$ on the Hilbert space completion of $C_c(G_u) \otimes \mathcal{H}_\pi$ with respect to the inner product determined in 3.14 according to [12, Proposition 2.66] by:

$$\mathrm{Ind}(u, A, \pi)(f)[g \otimes \xi] := [f * g \otimes \xi]. \tag{4.1}$$

Now we will define l^u.

$$l^u := \mathrm{Ind}(u, G_u^u, 1)$$

where 1 is the trivial representation of G_u^u. We will identify the Hilbert space that l^u acts upon as the Hilbert space completion of $C_c(G_u)$ with respect to the inner product

$$(f|g)_u = \int_{G_u^u} g^* * f(a)\Delta(a)^{-1/2}\, d\beta(a).$$

Thus

$$l^u(f)g = f * g.$$

Lemma 4.2. *Each l^u is an irreducible representation.*

Proof. Following the proof of [10, Lemma 2.5], we will show that l^u is irreducible, by showing that l^u is equivalent to a representation we will call R^u and that R^u is irreducible.

Recall that l^u is a representation on $\mathcal{H}_u$ which is the Hilbert space completion of $C_c(G_u)$ with respect to the inner product

$$(f|g)_u = \int_{A_u} g^* * f(a)\Delta(a)^{-1/2}\ d\beta^u(a).$$

Let $\mathcal{V}_u = L^2(G_u/A, \sigma^u)$ where σ^u is the the measure found in Lemma 3.3. Recall that $\mathcal{V}_u$ is a Hilbert space with respect to the inner product

$$\langle \xi, \zeta\rangle_u = \int_{G_u/A_u} \xi(\gamma)\overline{\zeta(\gamma)}\ d\sigma^u(\dot{\gamma}).$$

Consider the map $U^u : \mathcal{H}_u \to \mathcal{V}_u$ defined by

$$U^u(g)(\gamma) = \int_{A_u} g(\gamma a)\rho(\gamma a)^{-1/2}\ d\beta^u(a)$$

where ρ is defined in Equation (3.4). Notice that

$$\begin{aligned}
(f|g)_u &= \int_{A_u} g^* * f(a)\Delta(a)^{-1/2}\ d\beta^u(a)\\
&= \int_{A_u}\int_G g^*(a\gamma)f(\gamma^{-1})\ d\lambda^u(\gamma)\Delta(a)^{-1/2} d\beta^u(a)\\
&= \int_{A_u}\int_G \overline{g(\gamma^{-1}a^{-1})}f(\gamma^{-1})\ d\lambda^u(\gamma)\Delta(a)^{-1/2} d\beta^u(a)\\
&= \int_{A_u}\int_G \overline{g(\gamma a^{-1})}f(\gamma)\ d\lambda_u(\gamma)\Delta(a)^{-1/2} d\beta^u(a). \qquad (4.2)
\end{aligned}$$

Now we can map $a \mapsto a^{-1}$ and introduce $\rho(\gamma)^{-1}\rho(\gamma) = 1$ to see that (4.2) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int_{A_u}\int_G \overline{g(\gamma a)}f(\gamma)\rho(\gamma)^{-1}\rho(\gamma)\ d\lambda_u(\gamma)\Delta(a)^{-1/2} d\beta^u(a)\\
&= \int_{A_u}\int_{G/A_u}\int_{A_u} \overline{g(\gamma ba)}f(\gamma b)\rho(\gamma b)^{-1}\ d\beta(b)d\sigma(\dot{\gamma})\Delta(a)^{-1/2} d\beta^u(a). \qquad (4.3)
\end{aligned}$$

Multiplying a on the left by b^{-1}, using Fubini's Theorem, and equation (3.5) we get (4.3) equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int_{G/A_u}\int_{A_u}\int_{A_u} \overline{g(\gamma a)}f(\gamma b)\rho(\gamma)^{-1}\Delta(b)^{-1}\Delta(b^{-1}a)^{-1/2}\ d\beta(a)d\beta(b)d\sigma(\dot{\gamma})\\
&= \int_{G/A_u} (\int_{A_u} \overline{g(\gamma a)}\Delta(a)^{-1/2}\rho(\gamma)^{-1/2}\ d\beta(a))(\int_{A_u} f(\gamma b)\rho(\gamma)^{-1/2}\Delta(b)^{-1/2}\ d\beta(b))\\
&= \int_{G/A_u} \overline{U_u(g)(\dot{\gamma})}U_u(f)(\dot{\gamma})\ d\sigma(\dot{\gamma}) = \langle U_u(f), U_u(g)\rangle_u.
\end{aligned}$$

This means that U^u is an isometry. To see that U^u is surjective, let $\xi \in L^2(G_u/A, \sigma^u)$. We define a function, $\bar{\xi} \in C_c(G_u)$ with the formula

$$\bar{\xi}(\gamma) = \rho(\gamma)^{1/2}b(\gamma)\xi(\dot{\gamma})$$

where b is the function defined in Lemma 3.2. Now we see that $U^u(\bar{\xi}(\gamma))\xi$ thus U^u is surjective making it a unitary operator. We use U^u to intertwine l^u with the operator T^u defined below.

$$T^u(f)\xi(\gamma) = \int_G f(\gamma\eta)\xi(\eta^{-1})\ d\lambda^u(\eta)$$

where $\xi \in \mathcal{V}_u$. To see this, notice

$$\begin{aligned} T^u(f)U^u g(\gamma) &= \int_G f(\gamma\eta) \int_{A_u} g(\eta^{-1}a)\rho(\gamma a)^{-1/2}\ d\beta^u(a) d(\lambda^u(\eta) \\ &= \int_G \int_{A_u} f(\gamma\eta) g(\eta^{-1}a)\rho(\gamma a)^{-1/2}\ d\beta^u(a) d\lambda^u(\eta) \end{aligned}$$

for $f \in C_c(G)$ and $g \in C_c(G_u)$ and $\gamma \in G_u$. On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} U^u l^u(f) g(\gamma) &= U^u(f * g)(\gamma) \\ &= \int_{A_u} f * g(\gamma a)\rho(\gamma a)^{-1/2}\ d\beta^u(a) \\ &= \int_{A_u} \int_G f(\gamma a\eta) g(\eta^{-1})\rho(\gamma a)^{-1/2}\ d\lambda^u(\eta) d\beta^u(a). \end{aligned}$$

Using Fubini's theorem and multipling η on the left by a^{-1} we get

$$U^u l^u(f) g(\gamma) = \int_G \int_{A_u} f(\gamma\eta) g(\eta^{-1}a)\rho(\gamma a)^{-1/2}\ d\beta^u(a) d\lambda^u(\eta)$$

as needed.

We know from [1, Corollary 3.2.1] that G_u is an analytic Borel space. Therefore from [1, Theorem 3.4.1], there is a Borel cross section c for the natural map from G_u to G_u/A_u. Now define an operator, $W^u : \mathcal{V}_u \to L^2(G_u/A_u, \sigma^u)$ by $W^u(f)(\dot{\gamma}) = f(c(\dot{\gamma}))$. We claim that W^u is a unitary operator. To see this, notice that $W^*(\xi)(\gamma) = \xi(\dot{\gamma})$ for $\xi \in L^2(G_u/A_u, \sigma^u)$.

The point here is that now we have a unitary to intertwine T^u with the representation, M^u on $L^2(G_u/A_u, \sigma^u)$ given by:

$$M^u(f)\xi(\dot{\gamma}) = \int_G f(\gamma\eta^{-1})\xi(\dot{\eta})\ d\lambda_u(\eta).$$

In showing this equivalence, we use the assumption that functions in $\mathcal{V}_u$ are constant on orbits. Now we know T^u is unitarily equivalent to M^u thus l^u is unitarily equivalent to M^u.

Again, since G_u is second countable, the restriction of r defines a Borel isomorphism of G_u/A_u with $[u]$. We let $\sigma^u_* = r_*(\sigma^*)$ so that

$$\int \phi(v)\ d\sigma^u_*(v) = \int_{G_u/A_u} \phi(r(\gamma))\ d\sigma^u(\dot{\gamma}).$$

Then M^u is equivalent to the representation R^u on $L^2([u], \sigma^u_*)$ given by

$$R^u(f)\xi(v) = \int_G f(\eta^{-1})\xi(r(\eta))\ d\lambda_v(\eta).$$

If we let $v = r(\gamma)$ this becomes

$$R^u(f)\xi(r(\gamma)) = \int_G f(\eta^{-1})\xi(r(\eta\gamma))\ d\lambda_{r(\gamma)}(\eta). \tag{4.4}$$

Now let N^u be the representation of $C_0(G^0)$ on $L^2([u], \sigma_*^u)$ given by

$$N^u(\phi)(\xi)(v) = \phi(v)\xi(v)$$

where $v \in [u], \phi \in C_0(G^0)$, and $\xi \in L^2([u], \sigma_*^u)$.

Suppose $\phi \cdot f(\gamma) = \phi(r(\gamma))f(\gamma)$ for $\phi \in C_c(G^0)$ and $f \in C_c(G)$. Notice that $R^u(\phi \cdot f) = N^u(\phi)R^u(f)$. We will now show that R^u is irreducible. It suffices to show that

$$R^u(C^*(G))' = \mathbb{C}I.$$

Note that $R^u(C^*(G))'$ is a von Neumann algebra. We will consider any projection of $\mathcal{B}(L^2([u], \sigma_*^u)$ that commutes with $R^u(C^*(G))$. If we can show that this projection is either 0 or 1 we are done. A projection is sufficient because of [11, 4.1.11].

Notice that any projection commuting with $R^u(C^*(G))$ must also commute with $N^u(C_0(G^0))''$. By [1, Theorem 2.2.1], we know that

$$N^u(C_0(G^0))'' = L^\infty(G^0, \sigma_*^u).$$

Therefore any projection commuting with $R^u(C^*(G))$ must be of the form $N^u(\phi)$ with $\phi = 1_E$ and $E \subset [u]$ because $\operatorname{supp} \sigma_*^u \in [u]$. We will show that E is either the empty set or all of $[u]$.

Since $N^u(\phi)$ commutes with $R^u(f)$ we have

$$N^u(\phi)R^u(f)\xi(v) = R^u(f)N^u(\phi)\xi(v)$$

$$\phi(v) \int_G f(\eta^{-1})\xi(r(\eta))\ d\lambda_v(\eta) = \int_G f(\eta^{-1})\phi(r(\eta))\xi(r(\eta))\ d\lambda_v(\eta)$$

for σ_*^u-almost every v, $\xi \in L^2([u], \sigma_*^u$, and all $f \in C_c(G)$. Thus for some $v \in [u]$, $\phi(v) = \phi(r(\eta))$ λ_v-almost every $\eta \in G_v$. This means that ϕ is constant (a.e.) on $[u]$. Thus, E is empty or all of $[u]$ and R^u is irreducible and hence l^u is irreducible. □

We have now verified that l^u is indeed an element of $C^*(G)^\wedge$.

5. The Continuous Injection

Define a map $\omega : G^0/G \to C^*(G)^\wedge$ so that $u \mapsto l^u$ where $l^u(f)(g) = f * g$ as defined above. We are being sloppy with our notation. We actually are viewing l^u as $[l^u]$, the unitary equivalence class of l^u.

Lemma 5.1. *The map ω defined above is well-defined.*

Proof. Suppose $[u] = [v]$. Thus there exists $\gamma \in G$ such that $s(\gamma) = v$ and $r(\gamma) = u$.

We want to show l^u is unitarily equivalent to l^v. Notice that $a \mapsto \gamma a \gamma^{-1}$ is an isomorphism from G_v^v to G_u^u. Also notice the map

$$\phi \mapsto \int_{A_v} \phi(\gamma a \gamma^{-1})\ d\beta^v(a)$$

defines a Haar measure on G_u^u for all $\phi \in C_c(G_u^u)$. This Haar measure may not equal β^u. However, there is a constant $z(\gamma)$ such that $z(\gamma) > 0$ and

$$\int_{A_u} \phi(a)\ d\beta^u(a) = z(\gamma) \int_{A_v} \phi(\gamma a \gamma^{-1})\ d\beta^v(a).$$

Now define $q : \mathcal{H}_u \to \mathcal{H}_v$ by $q(g)(b) = z(\gamma)^{1/2} g(b\gamma^{-1})$ for $g \in C_c(G_u)$ and $b \in G_v$. We claim that q is a unitary. We must be careful in our computations in order to distinguish the $*$ operation in $C_c(G_u)$ from that in $C_c(G_u^u)$. For $g, h \in C_c(G_u)$, consider

$$\begin{aligned}
(q(g)|q(h))_v &= \int_{G_v^v} q(g)^* * q(h)(a)(a)\ d\beta^v(a) \\
&= \int_{G_v^v} \int_G q(g)^*(x) q(h)(x^{-1}a)\ d\lambda^{r(a)}(x) \Delta(a)^{-1/2}\ d\beta^v(a) \\
&= \int_{G_v^v} z(\gamma) \int_G \overline{g(x^{-1}\gamma^{-1})} h(x^{-1}a\gamma^{-1})\ d\lambda^{r(a)}(x) \Delta(a)^{-1/2}\ d\beta^v(a). \\
&= \int_{G_v^v} z(\gamma) \int_G g^*(\gamma x) h(x^{-1}a\gamma^{-1})\ d\lambda^{r(a)}(x) \Delta(a)^{-1/2}\ d\beta^v(a).
\end{aligned}$$

We can multiply x on the left by γ^{-1} and get

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int_{G_v^v} z(\gamma) \int_G g^*(x) h(x^{-1}\gamma a\gamma^{-1})\ d\lambda^{r(a)}(x) \Delta(a)^{-1/2}\ d\beta^v(a) \\
&= \int_G z(\gamma) \int_{G_v^v} g^*(x) h(x^{-1}\gamma a\gamma^{-1}) \Delta(a)^{-1/2}\ d\beta^v(a) d\lambda^{r(a)}(x) \\
&= \int_G z(\gamma) \int_{G_v^v} g^*(x) h(x^{-1}\gamma a\gamma^{-1}) \Delta(\gamma)^{1/2} \Delta(\gamma)^{-1/2} \Delta(a)^{-1/2}\ d\beta^v(a) d\lambda^{r(a)}(x) \\
&= \int_G \int_{G_u^u} g^*(x) h(x^{-1}a) \Delta(a)^{-1/2}\ d\beta^v(a) d\lambda^{r(a)}(x) \\
&= \int_{G_u^u} \int_G g^*(x) h(x^{-1}a)\ d\lambda^{r(a)}(x) \Delta(a)^{-1/2}\ d\beta^v(a) \\
&= \int_{G_u^u} g^* * h(a) \Delta(a)^{-1/2}\ d\beta^u(a) \\
&= (g|h)_u.
\end{aligned}$$

This means that q is isometric. Clearly q is surjective, making q a unitary as claimed. Suppose that $g \in C_c(G_u)$ and $f \in C_c(G)$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
q(l^u(f)g)b &= z(\gamma)^{1/2} l^u(f) g(b\gamma^{-1}) \\
&= z(\gamma)^{-1/2} f * g(b\gamma^{-1}) \\
&= \int_G z(\gamma)^{1/2} f(x) g(x^{-1}b\gamma^{-1})\ d\lambda^u(x) \\
&= \int_G f(x) q(g)(x^{-1}b)\ d\lambda^u(x) \\
&= f * q(g)(b) \\
&= l^v(f)(q(g))b;
\end{aligned}$$

therefore, l^u is unitarily equivalent to l^v. □

Before proving that our map is continuous, we need the following lemma which is a standard result taken from [16, Theorem 5.9] and [16, Proposition 6.26].

Lemma 5.2. *Suppose that $u \in G^0$, that A and B are subgroups of G_u^u with $A \subset B$, and that π and ρ are representations of A.*

(1) $\operatorname{Ind}(u, A, \pi)$ *is unitarily equivalent to* $\operatorname{Ind}(u, B, \operatorname{Ind}_A^B(\pi))$*, and*

(2) *if* π *weakly contains* ρ*, the* $\mathrm{Ind}(u, A, \pi)$ *weakly contains* $\mathrm{Ind}(u, A, \rho)$*.*

Recall that we can endow the space of subgroups of G with the compact topology described by Fell in [4]. We will denote this space by Σ. Thus we can view a subgroup A of G_u^u as an element of Σ. Now, we can fix Haar measures β^A on each subgroup A in Σ such that the map

$$A \mapsto \int_A f \, d\beta^A$$

is continuous for each $f \in C_c(G)$. This is a result of [15, Lemma 1.6]. For the sake of simplicity, we will write β^u for $\beta^{G_u^u}$.

Lemma 5.3. *Suppose that G is a groupoid where the isotropy groups are amenable. Then the map* $\omega : G^0/G \to C^*(G)^\wedge$ *is continuous.*

Proof. It suffices to show that if $l^u(f) \neq 0$ and if $\{u_n\}$ converges to u, then, eventually $l^{u_n}(f) \neq 0$. If the assertion fails, then it fails for some $f \in C_c(G)$. Since Σ is compact, we can pass to a subsequence, relabel and assume that $G_{u_n}^{u_n}$ converges to $C \in \Sigma$. Note that $C \subset G_u^u$. Passing to yet another subsequence and relabeling, we can also assume that $l^{u_n}(f) = 0$ for all n.

It follows from our choice of Haar measures that, for each $g, h \in C_c(G_u)$,

$$\int_{G_{u_n}^{u_n}} \Delta(a)^{-1/2} h^* * f * g(a) \, d\beta^{u_n}(a) \text{ converges to } \int_C \Delta(a)^{-1/2} h^* * f * g(a) \, d\beta^C(a)$$

which means

$$(l^{u_n}(f)(g)|h)_u \text{ converges to } (\mathrm{Ind}(u, C, 1)(f)(g)|h)_u.$$

Therefore $\mathrm{Ind}(u, C, 1)(f) = 0$. By Lemma 5.2 part 1, $\mathrm{Ind}(u, G_u^u, \mathrm{Ind}_C^{G_u^u}(1))(f) = 0$. Because we assume that the isotropy groups are amenable, from [7, Theorem 5.1], we know that $\mathrm{Ind}_C^{G_u^u}(1)$ is weakly contained in the trivial representation of G_u^u. Thus part 2 of Lemma 5.2 implies that $\mathrm{Ind}(u, G_u^u, 1)(f) = l^u = 0$ as desired. ☐

Lemma 5.4. *The map* ω *is injective.*

Proof. Suppose $\omega([u]) = \omega([v])$. That is that $[l^u] = [l^v]$ where $u, v \in G^0$. We must show that $[u] = [v]$.

We know there exists a unitary representation W that intertwines l^u and l^v. That means that $Wl^u = l^v W$. Recall from the proof of Lemma 4.2 we found representations R^u and R^v and representation N^u and N^v so that when l^u is unitarily equivalent to l^v then R^u is unitarily equivalent to R^V which means that N^u is unitarily equivalent to N^v. Thus we know that $[u] = [v]$. ☐

6. MAIN THEOREM

Now we have the continuous injection we need to prove the main result.

Theorem 6.1. *Suppose G is groupoid in which all of the isotropy groups are amenable. Then* $C^*(G)$ *is CCR if and only if* G^0/G *is* T_1 *and each of the isotropy groups are CCR.*

Proof. Suppose $C^*(G)$ is CCR. This means that points of $C^*(G)^\wedge$ are closed. Because we have a continuous injection between G^0/G and $C^*(G)^\wedge$, we know points of G^0/G are also closed. Thus G^0/G is T_1.

We know that every representation of $C^*(G)$ factors through $C^*(G|_{\overline{[u]}}) = C^*(G|_{[u]})$ for some $u \in G^0$ from [2, Corollary 3.5]. But $C^*(G|_{[u]})$ is a transitive groupoid thus $C^*(G|_{[u]}) \cong C^*(G^u_u) \otimes K$ [8, Theorem 3.1]. This means that any representation of $C^*(G^u_u)$ lifts to a representation of a CCR algebra, namely $C^*(G)$. Since the lifted representation is onto the compact operators, so must the original have been. That is, each of the isotropy groups are CCR.

Now, conversely, suppose G^0/G is T_1 and isotropy groups are CCR. Again, we know from [2, Corollary 3.5] that every representation L of $C^*(G)$ factors through $C^*(G|_{\overline{[u]}}) = C^*(G|_{[u]})$ for some $u \in G^0$ and that $C^*(G|_{[u]}) \cong C^*(G^u_u) \otimes K$ by [8, Theorem 3.1]. This is CCR because $C^*(G^u_u)$ is assumed to be CCR. This means that L is lifted from a representation of a CCR C^*-algebra making L a representation onto the compact operators. That is, $C^*(G)$ is CCR.

□

7. GCR Result

Theorem 7.1. *Suppose G is groupoid in which all of the isotropy groups are amenable, then $C^*(G)$ is GCR if and only if G^0/G is T_0 and all of the isotropy groups are GCR.*

Proof. Suppose $C^*(G)$ is GCR. This means that $C^*(G)^\wedge$ is T_0. Since there is a continuous injection from the orbit space to the spectrum, the orbit space must also be T_0.

From [2, Proposition 5.1], we know that every irreducible representation of $C^*(G)$ is the canonical extension of a representation of $C^*(G_{U_\alpha \setminus U_{\alpha-1}})$ where $U_\alpha \setminus U_{\alpha-1}$ is Hausdorff. Following the proof in the CCR case, we know that every representation of $C^*(G_{U_\alpha \setminus U_{\alpha-1}})$ factors through $C^*(G|_{\overline{[u]}}) = C^*(G|_{[u]})$ for some $u \in U_\alpha \setminus U_{\alpha-1}$ and that $C^*(G|_{[u]}) \cong C^*(G^u_u) \otimes K$ by [8, Theorem 3.1]. Now if we consider a representation l of $C^*(G^u_u)$ for any $u \in G^0$, it lifts to a representation of $C^*(G_{U_\alpha \setminus U_{\alpha-1}})$ which can be extened canonically to $C^*(G)$. Since the later is GCR, then so is l.

Conversely, suppose that G^0/G is T_0 and the isotropy groups are GCR. Once again, we know that every irreducible representation L of $C^*(G)$ is the canonical extension of a representation of $C^*(G_{U_\alpha \setminus U_{\alpha-1}})$ where $U_\alpha \setminus U_{\alpha-1}$ is Hausdorff. Also, we know that every representation of $C^*(G_{U_\alpha \setminus U_{\alpha-1}})$ factors through $C^*(G|_{\overline{[u]}}) = C^*(G|_{[u]})$ for some $u \in U_\alpha \setminus U_{\alpha-1}$ and that $C^*(G|_{[u]}) \cong C^*(G^u_u) \otimes K$ by [8, Theorem 3.1]. Since $C^*(G^u_u)$ is assumed to be GCR, then $C^*(G)$ must also be GCR.

□

This research was done as part of the author's Ph.D. thesis under the direction of Dana P. Williams. Thank you to Dana for his continued support.

References

[1] W. Arveson, *An Invitation to C^*-algebra*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1976.
[2] L. O. Clark, *Classifyiing the type of principal groupoid C^*-algebras*, J. Operator Theory, to appear.
[3] J. Dixmier, *C^*-algebras*, North-Holland Publishing Company, Amsterdam, 1977.
[4] J. M. G. Fell, *A Hausdorff topology on the closed subsets of a locally compact non-Hausdorff space*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **13** (1962).
[5] G. B. Folland, *Real analysis modern techniques and their applications*, Wiley, New York, 1984.

[6] E. C. Gootman, *The type of some C^* and W^*-algebra associated with transformation groups*, Pacific J. Math. **48** (1973), 98–106.

[7] F. P. Greenleaf, *Amenable actions of locally compact groups*, J. Functional Analysis **4** (1969), 295–315.

[8] P. S. Muhly, J. Renault, and D. P. Williams, *Equivalence and isomorphism for groupoid C^*-algebras*, J. Operator Theory **17** (1987), 3–22.

[9] P. S. Muhly, CBMS Lecture Notes on groupoids.

[10] P. S. Muhly, J. N. Renault, and D. P. Williams, *Continuous-trace groupoid C^*-algebras, III*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. (1996), 3621–3641.

[11] G. J. Murphy, *C^*-algebras and operator theory*, Academic Press, 1990.

[12] I. Raeburn and D. P. Williams, *Morita equivalence and continuous-trace C^*-algebras*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 60, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1998.

[13] J. Renault, *A groupoid approach to C^*-algebras*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, No. **793**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1980.

[14] J. Renault, *Représentations des produits croisés d'algèbres de groupoïdes*, J. Operator Theory **18** (1987), 67–97.

[15] J. Renault, *The ideal structure of groupoid crossed product C^*-algebras*, J. Operator Theory **25** (1991), 3–36.

[16] M. A. Rieffel, *Induced representations of C^*-algebras*, Adv. in Math. **13** (1974), 176–257.

[17] D. P. Williams, *The topology on the primitive ideal space of transformation group C^*-algebras and C.C.R. transformation group C^*-algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **266** (1982), 335–359.

Current address: Dept of Mathematical Sciences, Susquehanna University, Selinsgrove, PA 17870, USA

E-mail address: `clarklisa@susqu.edu`